



**ERRATA SHEET**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Correction</b>
Catholic Charities	All projects	Catholic Charities requested Home Sonoma County staff review their Housing First Assessment Tool efforts and clarify what the appropriate possible scores should be. While this is a reasonable request, it is not something staff can complete prior to the project evaluations, and questions about possible scores in different applications had no impact whatsoever on the staff scoring.
<b>Youth Projects</b>		
Community Support Network	Sanctuary Villas	CSN believes it is more accurate to say: “The applicant owns the property and seeks to pay off the existing mortgage with HEAP funds.”
Community Support Network	Sanctuary House PSH	CSN clarified that in FY 2017-18, 90% of residents retained housing. Based on all data available, Home Sonoma County staff used the figure 80%. Had 90% been used, the cost per housing outcome would be \$16,295 rather than \$18,332. Staff would have scored the project 2.55 points higher.
Social Advocates for Youth	Street Outreach	SAY requests clarification that the FY2018-19 \$30,000 award is dedicated to services in North County and Sonoma Valley, and the current ask is for a <i>county-wide</i> street outreach team. Similarly current number served is just North County and Sonoma Valley.
		SAY did include a “Successful Housing Outcome” goal of 75 out of 500 youth served (15%) for a per outcome cost of \$5,040. This information is included in the project budget recap section of the budget worksheet. Had this commitment been noticed by staff, staff would have noted 1.25 additional points in the scoring.
<b>Partnership HealthPlan</b>		
Bucklew Programs	PSH North County	Bucklew Programs states they intend to “purchase a rent ready multi-plex dwelling in the northern County” rather than a single family home.
<b>Rapid Re-Housing</b>		
Reach for Home	Rapid Re-Housing	Reach For Home reports it is currently graduating participants at a 100% success rate, and therefore the <i>individual</i> cost to retain housing is \$9,106 (compared to the original \$16,070 calculated by staff). Without the opportunity for further discussion, the difference could be an individual vs. household cost. If the staff calculation is incorrect, this would have added approximately 1.7 points to this project’s score.
<b>Capital – Permanent Supportive Housing</b>		
City of Sebastopol	Park Village	The City states that additional RV sites are anticipated to accommodate homeless persons living in their RVs on the street.
Burbank Housing	Caritas Village	Phase 1 will provide 64 units of affordable rental housing with not less than 30 permanent supportive housing units for homeless individuals and households.
		Burbank has entered into an option agreement with Catholic Charities (not a purchase and sale agreement as stated in the staff report.)



**ERRATA SHEET**

Burbank Housing	Caritas Village, <i>continued.</i>	<p>Leveraging sources and additional sources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• \$410,000 2017 County Fund for Housing, awarded to Catholic Charities for Phase 1 housing (not \$200,000)</li> <li>• \$1,000,000 Partnership HealthPlan/CDC awarded to Catholic Charities for Phase 1 housing</li> <li>• The project has now been awarded No Place Like Home funds</li> <li>• As a result of newly awarded funding, the leveraging ratio has changed to 141%.</li> </ul>
PEP Housing	River City	<p>This project will serve 27 veterans and 27 seniors. The non-veteran housing will be for seniors in general, not homeless seniors. PEP Housing states that they are the housing operator, and point out in the Logic Model a commitment to operating on a Housing First model. Regarding Coordinated Entry, PEP Housing further states they are planning to enter into an MOU with the Veterans Administration to provide housing for 27 VASH clients; other seniors will be offered case management through Petaluma People’s Service Center. PEP states that the agency will accept clients only from Coordinated Entry.</p>
		<p>Project Readiness: The project is slated to begin construction by October 2019, and PEP expects to complete the project in December 2020. They add that at \$433,420, the project cost is relatively low compared to other construction projects since the 2017 fires.</p>
		<p>PEP Housing requested reconsideration of the staff judgment that the project is too early in the development process to be deployed timeline, and requested staff change the recommendation against funding. Staff concur, and recommend the project for partial funding, if adequate funds are available and on the condition that evidence of an MOU with the VA is provided.</p>
PEP Housing	Linda Tunis Senior Housing	<p>PEP Housing states the project is designed using principles of Housing First and will accept 100% of referrals from Coordinated Entry. PEP Housing submitted its view that there are no uncertainties around General Plan consistency, and that the property lease is under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. Home Sonoma County staff have different information: the question of whether the City of Santa Rosa or Permit Sonoma will entitle the project is still unclear, and General Plan consistency cannot be established until this is clarified. A lease “in process” is not an executed lease. PEP Housing indicated there is a bus stop and shopping nearby. Home Sonoma County staff are concerned about the accessibility of these amenities for senior residents.</p>
Community Housing Sonoma County	Hearn Veterans Village, Phase 2	<p>Prior to November 2017, the Hearn Veterans Village project site was in the unincorporated County, but it is now within the City of Santa Rosa. CHSC reports the city planners have confirmed the site is properly zoned and consistent with the City’s General Plan. CHSC additionally reports that their NEPA environmental consultant believes an Environmental Assessment (EA) leading to a Finding of No Significant Impact will be appropriate. (The EA has not yet been conducted.)</p>
		<p>CHSC anticipates conducting a Phase One Environmental Site Assessment (“Phase One”) update. The original Phase One indicated potential presence of an underground chemical plume, which originated at the former OCLI site located northwest of the Hearn site. However, well water testing confirms no presence of contaminants in the well water at Hearn.</p>
Community Housing	Hearn Veterans	<p>On the extent of mitigation of environmental impacts, CHSC states that \$495,000 of the \$1 million request is for “off-site mitigation credits for California tiger salamander and other special species,” characterized as “a standard situation for construction in the southwest area of Santa Rosa.”</p>



**ERRATA SHEET**

Sonoma County	Village, Phase 2 <i>continued.</i>	CHSC takes issue with the statement, “The first phase (moving the 14 existing units from Russell Avenue location) is more feasible than the total project presented here.” Per CHSC, “the project becomes more feasible by developing more units at once due to economy of scale and elimination of duplication of work.”
<b>Capital – Emergency Shelters</b>		
City of Petaluma	Shelters	New contact information: City Manager, Peggy Flynn
<b>Permanent Supportive Housing - Services</b>		
The Living Room	Road to Home (services)	The Living Room reports it is “deep in the process of getting an outside financial audit.” The agency also comments that they believe 100% housing retention is possible. Costs and scoring per housing outcome were based on The Living Room’s estimate.
<b>Emergency Shelters - Services</b>		
YWCA	Safe House	The YWCA updated its estimate of numbers to be served this fiscal year: 142 households / 268 participants in FY 2018 -19
<b>Homelessness Prevention Projects</b>		
CAPSC	HCA Fund	CAPSC surveys housing status for 40% of clients each year (10% per quarter). Per CAPSC, the small sample size does not indicate the <i>actual number</i> who remain housed. CAPSC further explains that the number reported in quarterly reports as retaining housing (33%) includes only those housed <i>in a different place</i> than their original location. Had the projection of 85% housing retention for FY 2018-19 been used, instead of reported figures, the cost per household would have been \$2,536, and staff would have scored this section 2 points higher.
		CAPSC has so far not adjusted the program to work with either Coordinated Entry or a Housing First approach due to the fact that the private funder has set eligibility requirements for households. The agency is working with Coordinated Entry to improve its connection and the referral process.
		HCA now targets low income families who are <i>at imminent risk of</i> homelessness, by reporting cases of eviction and 3-day pay-or-quit notices, in an effort to target those more likely to become homeless.
<b>Other Homeless Services</b>		
Catholic Charities	Mental Health Integration Pilot	Catholic Charities states that this project “could scale to address integrating Mental Health services into Homeless Outreach (HOST), in an effort to address this growing health need, and HUD’s mandated “reasonable accommodations” identification and response.”
St. Vincent De Paul	Homeless Court	The Homeless Court does not expunge cases or fines; it dismisses or closes open citations and reduces fines to levels clients can pay and/or resolves them via community service so no pending cases or fines show up on background checks.
		Update on fines eliminated: The court just informed Homeless Court staff that its upcoming April calendar includes individuals with fines up to \$12,600, \$8,700, \$8,000, and \$2,500 for multiple cases. These fines would likely be reduced or eliminated in increments, hearing 5-7 cases per quarter.