

Received request from Sup. Hopkins to add matter to 11/19 closed session

- Significant Expense to Litigation

- Multiple accusations of gerrymandering in connection w/ redistricting (both political + racial)
- per Sup. Hopkins, mainly on social media
- reviewed posts on Sup. Hopkins facebook ~~site~~ page

- dozens of posts assert claims of racial + political gerrymandering
- handful of comments came from ~~very~~ credible sources (current or former County and city officials; known activists)
- Sup. Hopkins also orally described emails asserting similar claims

* The emails + social media posts likely establish sufficient grounds to support closed session discussion ~~to~~ in light of DOJ notice to all jurisdictions and legal challenges being mounted to redistricting maps in other CA counties

- Follow-up w/ CAO Britton

- She related that Sup. Hopkins had spoken to 2 ARC members who independently raised concerns that ARC chair had met privately w/ NRC to review the draft maps before those maps were provided to full ARC
- Statement by Chair Sheffield at 11/16 BCS mtg that he "redrew the boundary lines to keep Sup. Coursay's house in District 3" adds credence to these statements

- CAG Britton further delayed that Sup. Hopkins had received oral comments from various individuals that they had pledged \$ to challenge the REC map

REDACTED

**ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
CLOSED SESSION PRIVILEGE
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

NOT COVERED BY LIMITED WAIVER